Alan M. Anzarouth
Attorney at Law
3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1325
San Diego, CA 92108
Tel. (619) 398-9390
CA State Bar No. 84888

Attorney for Petitioner

3

OS FEB 21 PM 4: 25

ir: Pm

DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Mohamed Bahriz DHS File No. A77 203 614 Plaintiff,)	Civil No:	03	42	JM	NLS
VS.)					
Paul Pierre, District Director,)		٠		٠.	
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, 🎉)	COMPLA	INT F	OR R	ELIEF	IN THE
Michael Chertoff, U.S. Secretary of Homeland Security)	NATURE	OF N	IAND/	BUM	3
Homeland Security,)					
and)					
Michael Mukasey, U.S. Attorney General,)					
Defendants.						

Plaintiff, by his attorney, complaining of Defendants alleges as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff Mohammed Bahriz is a native and citizen of Algeria, and has been a lawful permanent resident of the United States since May 23, 2001. Plaintiff resides within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 2. The Defendant Paul Pierre is the Director of the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS), a subsidiary agency of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), in San Diego, California. This Defendant is sued herein in his official capacity. He is responsible for the processing of applications for naturalization for applicants who reside within San Diego County, State of California, under 8 USC 1421, et. seq., and 8 CFR 310, et. seq.
- 3. Michael Mukasey is the U.S. Attorney General, an agency of the United States Government, in Washington, D.C. This Defendant is sued herein in his official capacity. He is responsible for the processing of security clearance checks conducted by the Federal Bureau of

1

7

10

16

25

26 27 28 Investigation (FBI), which the CIS maintains must be completed before it can approve any application for naturalization, under 8 USC 1421, et. seq., and 8 CFR 310, et. seq.

- 4. Michael Chertoff is the Secretary of Homeland Security, an agency of the U.S. Government, in Washington, D.C. This Defendant is sued herein in his official capacity. He is responsible for overseeing the operations of the CIS, pursuant to 8 USC 1421, et. seq., and 8 CFR 310, et. seq.
- The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC 1331, 28 USC 1361, 28 5. USC 1651, 5 USC 701, et. seq., and 28 USC 2201, et. seq..
- 6. On March 20, 2006, Mr. Bahriz filed with the CIS California Service Center an application for naturalization. The CIS assigned to him application no. WSC*001474760 to his naturalization case.
- 7. On April 25, 2006, Mr. Bahriz provided his biometric fingerprints to the CIS at a scheduled appointment. Counsel believes that the FBI fingerprint results show that the Plaintiff has never been arrested or convicted of any crime anywhere in the world.
- 8. On September 20, 2006, the CIS scheduled Plaintiff for a November 7, 2006, naturalization examination at the San Diego Federal Building. However, on October 20, 2006, the government canceled the scheduled examination "due to unforeseen circumstances." The notice did not explain what was meant by the term, "unforeseen circumstances."
- 9. On December 4, 2006, counsel entered his appearance as attorney of record with the CIS on behalf of Plaintiff. On that day, counsel wrote to the CIS to inquire as to the status of Plaintiff's naturalization application. On December 5, 2006, the CIS responded to the inquiry by advising that security checks for Plaintiff were still pending, and that the CIS could take no further action until such checks cleared. The CIS claimed that further processing of Plaintiff's naturalization application was beyond its control.

1

6

11

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 **27**

DATED:

28

February 21, 2008

- 10. More than 23 months after Plaintiff filed his naturalization application, the government has failed to conduct an examination on such application. The government refuses to schedule the examination until the FBI completes its security clearance name checks.
- 11. Defendant Pierre has been unable or unwilling to schedule Plaintiff's referenced naturalization examination. Further, his office has failed to meaningfully disclose (1) whether the name checks have been completed; (2) the reason or reasons why such name checks have not yet been completed; (3) when such name checks will be completed; or (4) the results of the name checks, if they have been completed. Further, the Defendants are taking no action on Plaintiff's application even though similar cases have been completed far more expeditiously.
 - 12. Plaintiff has exhausted all available administrative remedies.
- 13. The Defendants' refusal to act in this case is, as a matter of law, arbitrary, and not in accordance with the law.
- Plaintiff has been greatly damaged by the failure of the each Defendant to act in 14. accord with his respective duties under the law.
- 15. The Defendants, in violation of the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 USC 701, et seq., have unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed action with Plaintiff's application and have failed to carry out the adjudicative and administrative functions delegated to them by law and regulation with regard to Plaintiff's case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court:

- A. Order the Defendants to have their agents process this case to a conclusion;
- В. Order that the Defendants pay reasonable attorney fees; and
- C. Order other and further relief as it may deem proper under the circumstances.

Attorney for Plaintiff

3

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of plea by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required	adings or other papers as required by law, except as provided
The 18 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein heliner replace nor supplement the filling and service of pic-	adiligs of other papers as required by law, except as provided
by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in Sentember 1974, is required	for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating
by rocal rules of court. This form, approved by the radicial Conference of the Critical States in September 1771, is required	Property &
the civil dealest chast (CEE INCTRICTIONS ON THE DEVEDSE OF THE COPM)	The second secon

the civil docker sheet. (SEE hive	TROUTIONS ON THE REVENUE OF THE TOTAL			fgure		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	1	Base and Australia or ext.	
Mohamed Bahriz			Paul Pierre, Director, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Michael Chertoff, U.S. Secretary of Homeland Security, and			
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego		County of Residence of	First Listed Defendants	IS DISTRICT C	
(E)	(CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIÉE GASES C CONDEMNATION CASES, US	ONLY)	
				NVOLVED.	WOLDEPUTY	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Kn A	CV 0342.	JM NLS	
	Camino del Rio N., Suite 1325		U.S. Attorney, 940	Front Street, San Diego,		
San Diego, CA 92108 6			INTERNATION OF BU	DINCIPAL DADTIEC		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 	Citiz	zen of This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	Citiz	zen of Another State	2		
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		zen or Subject of a Oreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
CONTRACT	TORTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury	. 🗇 (620 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury		625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability Slander ☐ 368 Asbestos Person		630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product		650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPEI	RTY	Safety/Health	5 840 Hademark	490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud Liability ☐ 371 Truth in Lending		690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal		710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage	ge □ '	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability Injury	P	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	■ 890 Other Statutory Actions ■ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIC		740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca ☐ 442 Employment Sentence		791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 530 General		Security Act	26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information	
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty	.,			900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & O Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights	ther		•	to Justice	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Conditio	n			950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	440 Other Civil Rights					
Ø 1 Original	(cilloved from	□ 4 _{Rei}	instated or 🔲 3 another	ferred from		
Proceeding S	State Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 8 USC Sections 1421, 1443, 1446,	Rec are filing	opened (speci (Do not cite jyrisdiction	fy) Litigation al statutes unless diversity);		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 8 USC Sections 1421, 1443, 1446, Brief description of cause: CIS has failed to schedule Plaintiff					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		ſ	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	TTORNE	Y OF RECORD			
02/21/2008	$($ $^{\circ}\mathcal{N}_{0}$	MI	Ra Koutt		,	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # 1494	AMOUNT \$350 APPLYING IFP	$-\!$	JUDGE _	MAG. JU	DGE	
AY.	2121100	(

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

147947 - SH

February 21, 2008 16:30:59

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV0342

Judge..: JEFFREY T MILLER

Amount.:

\$350.00 CA

\$350.00 Total->

FROM: BAHRIZ V. PIERRE